



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,690	08/29/20	03	Akemi Kurumatani	018987-053	7695
21839	7590 01	1/25/2006		EXAM	INER
	N INGERSOL	SINGH, RACHNA			
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				2176	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/650,690	KURUMATANI, AKEMI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rachna Singh	2176				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address —				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticated the period for reply will be per	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	August 2003.					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/29/03</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/650,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed 08/29/03, IDS filed 08/29/03.

2. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1, 7, 15, 17, and 19-20 are independent claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/29/03 is being considered by the examiner.

Priority

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 6-9, and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamalainen et al., US 2003/006284 A1, 03/27/03 (filed 03/19/01).

In reference to claims 1, 7-9, and 15, Hamalainen teaches a method and system for providing interactive question-based applications over a communication network.

Hamalainen discloses storing information specifying questions and answers in a first data unit and actions specifying how questions should be presented to users in a

second data unit. The first and second data units are logically separate data units and are modified independently of each other. See page 1, paragraph [0011]. The first and second data units are defined in Extensible Markup Language (XML). See page 3, paragraphs [0036]-[0038]. Hamalainen further teaches XSLT for transforming XML documents into other XML documents. XSLT is designed for use as part of XSL, which is a stylesheet language for XML. See page 3, paragraph [0039]. Compare to "an XML storage section which stores therein, in XML format, management information that relates to a managed device, the management information including a first group and second group of information". Figure 7 of Hamalainen illustrates selecting two content data elements corresponding to two unasked questions from a DDL data unit, applying the XSLT file corresponding to the user terminal to the selected content data elements to obtain presentable information and sending the presentable information to a user terminal. Compare to "a first XSLT storage section which stores therein, in XSLT format, a first style sheet that is used for displaying the first group of information; a first transmission section which transmits the management information and the first style sheet, when receiving from a terminal device a transmission request of data used for displaying the first group of information". Figure 7 further illustrates waiting for an answer, collecting answers, constructing a DDL block containing the content data elements and answers relating to the content data elements, applying the XSLT file to the DLL block to obtain presentable information and presenting the information to the user terminal. Compare to "a second XSLT storage section which stores therein, in XSLT format, a second style sheet

Page 3

that is used for displaying a second group of information; a second transmission section which transmits the management information and the second style sheet, when receiving from a terminal device a transmission request of data used for displaying the second group of information".

Hamalainen does not expressly state "management information"; however,
Hamalainen teaches an interactive question-based application over a communication
network. Hamalainen discloses storing information specifying questions and answers in
a first data unit and actions specifying how questions should be presented to users in a
second data unit. Management information is described as information related to each
type of device. Hamalainen's application includes rules specifying how presented
material should be treated for display on different types of terminals in order to simplify
the creation of questionnaires and delivery to different terminals. See abstract and
figure 3. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of
the invention to interpret Hamalainen's rules specifying how presented material should
be treated for display on different types of terminals as management information
because it is information regarding a managed device as defined by Applicant's
specification.

In reference to claims 6 and 14, Hamalainen teaches on page 4, paragraph [0044]-[0045], that some content data and action data can be changed by selecting the content data elements without any compilation or restart of the application software.

In reference to claims 16-20, Hamalainen teaches a method and system for providing interactive question-based applications over a communication network.

Hamalainen discloses storing information specifying questions and answers in a first data unit and actions specifying how questions should be presented to users in a second data unit. The first and second data units are logically separate data units and are modified independently of each other. See page 1, paragraph [0011]. The first and second data units are defined in Extensible Markup Language (XML). See page 3, paragraphs [0036]-[0038]. Hamalainen further teaches XSLT for transforming XML documents into other XML documents. XSLT is designed for use as part of XSL, which is a stylesheet language for XML. See page 3, paragraph [0039]. Compare to "a storing step of storing, in XML format, the management information to an XML storage section, the management information being classified into at least a first group and second group of information, each group of information being stored in a different file from one another;" Figure 7 of Hamalainen illustrates selecting two content data elements corresponding to two unasked questions from a DDL data unit, applying the XSLT file corresponding to the user terminal to the selected content data elements to obtain presentable information and sending the presentable information to a user terminal. Compare to "a first transmission step of, when receiving from a terminal device. . .the first group of information and a style sheet. . .transmitting the first XML file and the style sheet, that have been read;". Figure 7 further illustrates waiting for an answer, collecting answers, constructing a DDL block containing the content data elements and answers relating to the content data elements, applying the XSLT file to the DLL block to obtain presentable information and

presenting the information to the user terminal. Compare to "a second transmission

Art Unit: 2176

step of, when receiving from a terminal device a transmission request of data. .

and transmitting the read second XML file".

Hamalainen does not expressly state "management information"; however,
Hamalainen teaches an interactive question-based application over a communication
network. Hamalainen discloses storing information specifying questions and answers in
a first data unit and actions specifying how questions should be presented to users in a
second data unit. Management information is described as information related to each
type of device. Hamalainen's application includes rules specifying how presented
material should be treated for display on different types of terminals in order to simplify
the creation of questionnaires and delivery to different terminals. See abstract and
figure 3. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of
the invention to interpret Hamalainen's rules specifying how presented material should
be treated for display on different types of terminals as management information
because it is information regarding a managed device as defined by Applicant's
specification.

7. Claims 3-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Hamalainen et al.</u>, US 2003/006284 A1, 03/27/03 (filed 03/19/01) in view of <u>Alleshouse</u>, US 2005/0150953 A1, 07/14/05 (provisional filed on 01/04/02).

In reference to claims 3 and 11, Hamalainen does not teach the first and second group are classified according to updating frequency of management information.

Alleshouse teaches the RFID transponder specifies the structure of the data to be encoded such as transmit frequency, receive frequency, data storage capacity, data

addressing scheme, transmit frequency, receive frequency, proprietary features such as serial numbering and data locking, and the like. See page 11, paragraph [0100]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Alleshouse's updating frequency information regarding data in the first and second groups of Hamalainen as it helps determine the prescribed frequency range for transmitting signals.

In reference to claims 4 and 12, Hamalainen does not teach the first and second group are classified according to frequency of usage of management information.

Alleshouse teaches the RFID transponder specifies the structure of the data to be encoded such as transmit frequency, receive frequency, data storage capacity, data addressing scheme, transmit frequency, receive frequency, proprietary features such as serial numbering and data locking, and the like. See page 11, paragraph [0100]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Alleshouse's updating frequency information regarding data in the first and second groups of Hamalainen as it helps determine the prescribed frequency range for transmitting signals.

In reference to claims 5 and 13, Hamalainen teaches the first data unit and second data unit are stored separately in order to simplify creation and delivery to different terminals over different communication networks. Alleshouse teaches the RFID transponder specifies the structure of the data to be encoded such as transmit frequency, receive frequency, data storage capacity, data addressing scheme, transmit frequency, receive frequency, proprietary features such as serial numbering and data

Application/Control Number: 10/650,690 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

locking, and the like. See page 11, paragraph [0100]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Alleshouse's updating frequency information regarding data in the first and second groups of Hamalainen as it helps determine the prescribed frequency range for transmitting signals.

8. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamalainen et al., US 2003/006284 A1, 03/27/03 (filed 03/19/01) in view of Sorvari et al., US 2004/0043758 A1, 03/04/04 (filed 08/29/02)

In reference to claims 2 and 10, Hamalainen does not teach a state detection section which determines the state of the image. Sorvari teaches a system for providing context sensitive recommendations to digital services where context related information is transmitted within a wireless device. The context state includes a description of the environment of the wireless device at the present time. And includes information relating to sensor signals input from the sensors at the current time. See page 7, paragraphs [0084]-[0087]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Sovari's context state determination in Hamalainen's system in order to organize and present data in accordance with the preference instructions. See abstract.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bauernschmidt et al. US 2004/0168115

Application/Control Number: 10/650,690 Page 9

Art Unit: 2176

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-4099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30AM-6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS 01/18/06

> WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

> > 1/22/2006